



29.07.2010



Promoting Inclusion at Regional Level

"It would be deceiving the peoples of the world to let them think that a legal provision was all that was required ... when in fact an entire social structure had to be transformed."

René Cassin





29.07.2010

Promoting Inclusion at Regional Level




LENS



BENCHMARK - ROADMAP

The 4 dimensions of the Disability Inclusion Policy Framework





29.07.2010

Vision



Principles

SAME RESPONSIBILITIES



SAME RIGHTS



CONTRIBUTION



29.07.2010

Participation



Methods





29.07.2010



Assessing the regional approach being taken in relation to Inclusion				
	Level 1 Red : Unaware	Level 2 Orange : Reactive	Level 3 Yellow : Proactive	Level 4 Green : Best in class
Vision & Principles				
Participation				
Methods				
Accountability				



29.07.2010

Assessing the regional approach being taken in relation to Inclusion				
	Level 1 Red : Unaware	Level 2 Orange : Reactive	Level 3 Yellow : Proactive	Level 4 Green : Best in class
Vision & Principles	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No understanding of the meaning of inclusion Requirements of inclusionary policies are unknown 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited understanding of the inclusive vision & principles No expression of vision but simply reaction to pressure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good understanding on the purpose of inclusion A vision has been developed but principles are not always consistently applied 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear vision of inclusion Collective agreement about what key outcomes are needed to fulfill the vision
Participation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No evidence of participation Consultation rarely occurs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Basic participation processes are in place but remains rhetoric Participation occurs only for some issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participation is effective for most issues Participation processes are in place but tools need to be improved 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Advocacy and empowerment are basic foundations for participation processes
Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No regional planning Silo working of services Person's needs still met in a specialized context 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planning exists but mainly sectoral Cooperation exists for some issues Service centered focus 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inclusive planning is under development Methods are clear but not always effective Needs regularly met in a person centered approach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inclusive planning and joint approach effective Program focus on self determination, self creation and system improvement
Accountability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No definition of outcomes Outcomes not monitored and not compared 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outcomes defined but infrequently monitored Persons are not involved in the monitoring 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outcomes frequently monitored People with disabilities consulted in the monitoring 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outcomes agreed, understood and regularly compared to initial aims People with disabilities empowered in the monitoring

